



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/626,304	07/26/2000	Tsuyoshi Futamase	393032016800	7930

25224 7590 04/23/2003  
MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP  
555 WEST FIFTH STREET  
SUITE 3500  
LOS ANGELES, CA 90013-1024

EXAMINER

DONELS, JEFFREY

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2837

DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/626,304

Applicant(s)

FUTAMASE ET AL.

Examiner

Jeffrey Donels

Art Unit

2837

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on \_\_\_\_.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-362 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,11,12,30,35,60,79,119,131,292-295,297,301,302 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10,13-29,31-34,36-38,61-78,296,298,311,327-333,336,337,345,346 and 362 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) \_\_\_\_.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are all but 1-38, 60-79, 119, 131, 292-298, 301, 302, 311, 327-333, 336, 337, 345, 346, 362 .

**DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-362 are pending in the application; claims 1-38, 60-79, 119, 131, 292-298, 301, 302, 311, 327-333, 336, 337, 345, 346, 362 are elected without traverse.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Claims 1, 11, 12, 30, 292-295 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being fully met by Japanese Patent Application No. 09101786.

Regarding Claims 11 and 293, the envelope processing reads as the recited effect processing.

Regarding Claims 12, 30, 294, 295, the DSP reads on the second processor.

Art Unit: 2837

Claims 60,79,301,302 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Imai et al.

See especially Figs. 1,4,7, and 14.

Claims 119,131 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being fully met by Armanto et al.  
See esp. Cols. 12 and 13.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,39,60,292,301 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ide.

Ide discloses a method of extending capability of music apparatus by networking which comprises all features claimed, but does not claim that the local terminal / electronic musical instrument is provided in a portable terminal. It has been held that a claimed device is not patentably distinguishable over a device known in the art because it has been made portable.

See *In re Lindberg*, 194 F.2d 732, 93 USPQ 23 (CCPA 1952).

Claims 35 and 297 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ide in view of Kim et al.

Regarding Claims 35 and 297, Ide (applied in a similar manner as above) discloses all features claimed but does not explicitly disclose picture information and a video device as claimed. Kim et al. discloses a karaoke service method which comprises picture information and a video device (Col. 4 lines 32-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the Ide teachings with those of Kim et al., as both inventions are drawn towards music playback devices and so as to allow the users of an Ide device to use karaoke functionality.

Claims 35 and 297 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ide in view of Norio (Japanese Patent Application No. 09101786).


Regarding Claims 35 and 297, Norio (applied in a similar manner as above) discloses all features claimed but does not explicitly disclose picture information and a video device as claimed. Kim et al. discloses a karaoke service method which comprises picture information and a video device (Col. 4 lines 32-64). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt the Norio teachings with those of Kim et al., as both inventions are drawn towards portable music playback devices and so as to allow the users of the Norio device to use karaoke functionality.

Claims 2-10,13-29,31-34,36-38,61-78,296,298,311,327-333,336,337,345,346,362 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey Donels whose telephone number is 703-308-3115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 hour days, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi can be reached on 703-308-3370. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-308-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

  
Jeffrey Donels  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 2837